

Lisa Schovanek

From: Brad Gibson [REDACTED]
Sent: August 16, 2022 2:11 PM
To: Lisa Schovanek
Cc: Dianne and Phil Gibson
Subject: Re: Presentation Request

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, and know the content is safe. If you are unsure of the contents of this email, please reach out to IT at ISupport@sturgeoncounty.ca

Hi Lisa,

We look forward to next Tuesday to have the opportunity to address council regarding the following piece of property. I don't really have any materials that I need to share with council at this stage, but certainly will require access to a terminal with USB interface so as to share some pdfs during our 15 minutes. I'm also hopeful that we will have access to the county's online mapping software (GIRS) so as to be able to zoom in on this piece during the presentation as one area in particular is really small, but vitally crucial. (I was only able to zoom in so far on my personal computer).

Subdivision of North Portion of River Lot 64, St. Albert Settlement (SAS).
Parcel 212001
54427B Rge Rd 251
9523595;;1/RL-64-54-25-4

We are wishing to address council to clarify that the northwest corner of this piece of property has already been subdivided as far back as the 1950s and subsequently reaffirmed in the 1990s, but unfortunately, not properly documented with Land Titles twice. In addition to being legally separated from the original decades ago, the piece is now physically separated from River Lot 64 and any other property that we own. We are not seeking to develop this parcel for a subdivision with a residence on it; we simply want to address council to make our case that this piece of land, owned by our family since the 1890s, is already a subdivision of River Lot 64 N, and as such, should not be subject to any fees/charges for making it so with Alberta Land Titles or the County of Sturgeon.

On the same piece of property, but in the southwest corner, we have an issue with the county's purchase of land for the movement of an AltaGas (now Apex) gas line riser in 2014. The purchase of land from us, which we did not clearly understand at the time, was deemed for road widening, but that was never done. Furthermore, the county purchased land that was just the right size for the riser and now has isolated a narrow strip of our land, south of the riser to our southern property line. We question why, if this was legitimately being done for road widening, a narrow sliver, along the east side of Starkey was not purchased.

We hope to further elaborate on all of these issues and address any questions from council on Tuesday 23 August.

Thanks again for clarification, Lisa, and please let me know if anything further is required ahead of next Tuesday's meeting. I will have the PDF that we discussed ready by Friday of this week at the latest.

Very warm regards,

Brad Gibson
on behalf of Phil and Dianne Gibson