

Project Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Park <input type="checkbox"/> Park Redevelopment <input type="checkbox"/> Pathway <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation							
Item		Description	Description	Value	Weight	Score	Total
1	Strategic Importance	Project aligned to corporate priorities and outcomes.	Essential Project - there are legal, safety, regulatory or mandated reasons to pursue this project and without doing so will create legal concerns, fines or high risk of liability against the Municipality's planned growth. High degree of alignment with the Stratgic Plan	100	12%	80	10
			Priority Project - projects deemed a priority to Council and will maintain critical infrastructure to residents, however are not mandatory. Very well aligned with the pillars of the Strategic Plan	80			
			Efficiency or Cost Savings Project - has a positivbe break-even over the life of the capital investment due to operational cost savings and will provide financial benefits in the future. Some alignment with the Strategic Plan. To do this, assess current operating and maintenance costs then evaluate potential changes	60			
			Lifecycle Maintenance - projects that maintain existing capital infrastructure in its current state. Projects are not mandatory however if not pursued, the condition of exiting infrastructure will decline. Low alignment with the Strategic Plan	40			
			Improvement - projects will increase service levels sich as new facilities, expanding existing infrastructure or new initiatives. Low to no alignment with the Strategic Plan	20			
2	Budget Impact	Overall Impact of Project Costs	Significant decrease in operating costs over a 10-year period, >\$100,000	100	5%	25	1
			Moderate decrease in operating costs over a 10-year period, \$50,000 to \$100,000	50			
			Marginal decrease in operating costs over a 10-year period, \$0 to \$50,000	25			
			Little or no impact to operating costs, or not applicable	0			
			Marginal increase in operating costs over a 10-year period, \$0 to \$50,000	-25			
			Moderate increase in operating costs over a 10-year period, \$50,000 to \$100,000	-50			
Significant increase in operating costs over a 10-year period, >\$100,000	-100						
3	Strategic Alignment	Compatibility with Strategic Plan, Muncipal Development Plan, other statutory plans	Direct alignment will all plans	100	12%	100	12
			Indirectly aligned with some plans, but not all	50			
			No alignment with any strategic plans	0			
4	Environmental Ethic	Demonstrates environmenal values	Greatly improves natural systems by adding new or enhanced ecological features, and a clear increase in biodiversity, wise use of materials, etc.	100	20%	100	20
			Project provides improvements to natural systems such as increasing biodiversity and climate resiliency	50			
			There are minor improvements to natural systems however much of the site's ecological function will remain the same	25			
			Has no negative ecological impacts to natural systems including water, air, earth and provides an improvement to habitat for flora and fauna	0			
			Degrades the natural quality of ecological functions of a site	-25			
			Impairs or eliminates more than 50% of the ecological functions of a site	-50			
Eradicates natural conditions of a site and eliminates natural habitat for flora and fauna	-100						
5	Public Risk	The extent to which the project will address / mitigate risk to public health and / or occupational health and safety	Risks to the public once posed by the site are now eliminated and passes a CPTED evaluation	100	12%	100	12
			There are no risks to the public, and personal safety on site remains the same as prior to implementing this project, generally meets CPTED guidelines	0			
			Risks to the public are unavoidable and mitigation measures must be put into place, does not meet CPTED guidelines	-50			
6	Trends & Leading Practices	Now this project aligns with current trends and leading practices in recreation	Demonstates innovation (is new to the region) and brings a high degree of conformance with local, provincial and national trends and leading practices for recreation	100	9%	100	9
			Aligns with local, provincial and national trends and leading practices for recreation	50			
			Has minor alignment with trends and leading practices	25			
			Does not align with the trends and leading practices	0			
7	Sense of Place and Community	How the project reflects local values, promotes the County's sense of place	The project has significant contributions to promoting local identity and sense of place for the County	50	10%	50	5
			The project acknowledges local identity and is suited to the County	0			
			The project does not have attributes that reflect local identity and does not promote sense of place	-50			
8	Staff Capacity and Support	The County has existing staff to deliver on the project	The County maintains staff with the necessary skills and availability to the project to self-deliver	100	10%	50	5
			The County would need to hire outside consulting / contractor assistance to deliver the project, which is readily available in the region	50			
			The expertise and capacity does not currently exist internal to the County nor is it readily available in the region	0			
9	Funding Sources	Where the capital and operating costs are coming from	Both capital and operating costs are from external sources, from other levels of governmment, partnerships, other grants	200	10%	50	10
			75% to 99% of project financing is from external sources	100			
			25% to 74% of project financing is from external sources	50			
			Less than 25% of the project is financed from an external source	25			
			Project is financed entirely through municipal budgets	0			
100%						84	

Figure 17 - Capital Project Scoring Matrix for Parks and Open Space